

# PARK POTENTIAL INDEX

\_\_\_\_\_  
Park

\_\_\_\_\_  
Reviewers

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

YEARS	INDEX
13-20	5
12-15	4
8-11	3
4-7	2
0-3	1

**RATING:**

1. Last known date of upgrades \_\_\_\_\_  
(year)

\_\_\_\_\_

RATING	INDEX
Excellent	5
Very good	4
Good	3
Fair	2
Poor	1

- 2. Potential strength of existing partnerships in the neighborhood. \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Potential alignment with existing projects in the area. [i.e. development, community-led] \_\_\_\_\_
- 4. Potential to improve park condition. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5. Potential to decrease maintenance costs. [i.e. decrease mowing, reduced landscaping, litter control] \_\_\_\_\_
- 6. Potential to decrease crime in the neighborhood. \_\_\_\_\_
- 7. Potential to enhance safety through Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design [CPTED]. \_\_\_\_\_
- 8. Potential to stimulate economic development. \_\_\_\_\_
- 9. Potential to increase connectivity of green spaces. [trails, sidewalks, proximity] \_\_\_\_\_
- 10. Potential to enhance walkability and bikability. [i.e. bike racks, trails, signage] \_\_\_\_\_
- 11. Potential for green infrastructure. [i.e. tree canopy, water retention, etc.] \_\_\_\_\_
- 12. Potential for a wide variety of ages, groups and abilities. \_\_\_\_\_
- 13. Potential to improve health of residents. [i.e. promotes physical activity and/or citizen engagement] \_\_\_\_\_
- 14. Potential for non-programming activities. [i.e. playground, swinging benches, interactive features] \_\_\_\_\_
- 15. Potential to increase opportunities for activities and programs. [i.e. gardens, habitat] \_\_\_\_\_
- 16. Potential for grants or other funding opportunities. \_\_\_\_\_
- 17. Potential to increase access for underserved residents. [i.e. low/moderate income, minority] \_\_\_\_\_
- 18. Potential to improve alignment with national, regional, local recreation trends. \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL:**

**FINAL SCORE %**    High Priority 80-100%    Moderate Priority 50-79%    Low Priority 1-49%